



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Medicinal Plants |
| Manuscript Number: | MS: 2012/EJMP/2220 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Assessment of Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity of Dried Leaves of <i>Acalyphawilkesiana</i>. |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|--|---|
| <p>The concerns raised in the first review have substantially been addressed prominent among them is the title and aim. The new title and aim fit the scope of the work and the discussion has been strengthened. However few concerns still remain.</p> <p>1. The abstract is still not according to the journal format which is structured into (Aim, Methodology, Results and Conclusion).</p> <p>2. The referencing style is also not according to the journal format. Check already published articles of the journal for the correct style e.g. In text citation should be names not numbers and reference section at the end of the manuscript should be in alphabetical order not in the order they appeared in the text.</p> <p>3. Correct the spelling of Nitrofurantoin and Chloramphenicol in the materials and Methods section.</p> <p>4. The "minus" sign at 2.0×10^{-6} should be removed to be 2.0×10^6 cfu/ml</p> <p>5. Paragraph 6 of discussion states that <i>S. aureus</i> (ATCC 29213) and <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> (ATCC 8662) were resistant to standard antibiotics but Table 3 shows contrary. The table shows that <i>S. aureus</i> (ATCC 29213) was not resistant to any of the antibiotics tested. (Explanation needed)</p> <p>6. There are very few places in the text coloured "blue" or "red" for possible correction. Blue is for delete and red for addition.</p> | <p>Lines 12-29 on page 1 have been restructured.</p> <p>The authors adopted the VANCOUVER reference style as directed by the Editor.</p> <p>Lines 147 and 149 on page 5 were corrected.</p> <p>Line 131 on page 5 was corrected.</p> <p>Line 279 page 10 was corrected.</p> <p>Lines 59 and 64 on page 2 and line 273 were corrected.</p> |